

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,402	09/29/2003	Yueh-Chuan Lee	11141-US-PA	2401
31561	7590 04/06/2005	•	EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100			GARCIA, JOANNIE A	
•	T ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,	,		2823	
TAIWAN		DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/605,402	LEE ET AL	(M				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joannie A. García	2823					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after \$IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	*				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
•							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5,6 and 11-17</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		-				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	-					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09-29-2003</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		•					

Art Unit: 2823

Claims 1-17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The term "predetermined" in claim 1 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "predetermined" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. If applicant intends a particular depth, it should be clearly recited.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "bottom of the shallow trench" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "dopant" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The term "predetermined" in claim 11 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "predetermined" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. If applicant intends a particular depth, it should be clearly recited.

In claim 13, line 2, "serves" should be followed by --as--.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2823

Claims 1, 3, 4, and 8-10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leas (U.S. Patent 6,037,210)

Leas discloses forming a patterned mask layer 35 on a substrate 31 (Figure 2), forming a doped region 41, P-type or N-type, in a depth in the substrate exposed by the mask layer (Figure 3), wherein a conductivity type of the doped region is different from a conductivity type of an active device subsequently formed on an active area (Figure 8), forming a shallow trench down to the doped region in the substrate (Figure 7), and filling an insulating material 55 into the shallow trench (Figure 7).

Leas discloses a shallow trench isolation layer 55 disposed in a shallow trench in a substrate 31 (Figure 7), and a doped region 41, P-type or N-type, as a channel stop layer disposed directly under a bottom of the shallow trench isolation layer, wherein the doped region does not extend to a sidewall of the shallow trench (Figure 7), and wherein a conductivity type of a dopant is different from a conductivity type of an active device disposed on an active area (Figure 8).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leas as applied to claims 1, 3, 4, and 8-10, above, and further in view of the following comments.

Leas discloses the claimed invention except for the thickness of the patterned mask layer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine a suitable thickness, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

In addition, the selection of a suitable thickness, is obvious because it is a matter of

Art Unit: 2823

determining optimum process conditions by routine experimentation with a limited number of species of result effective variables. These claims are prima facie obvious without showing that the claimed ranges achieve unexpected results relative to the prior art range. In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1935, 1937 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See also In re Huang, 40 USPQ2d 1685, 1688 (Fed. Cir. 1996)(claimed ranges or a result effective variable, which do not overlap the prior art ranges, are unpatentable unless they produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely in degree from the results of the prior art). See also In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA) (discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill or art) and In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1995) (selection of optimum ranges within prior art general conditions is obvious).

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed thickness or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen thicknesses or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen thicknesses are critical. In re Woodruf, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Claims 2, 5, 6, and 12-17, would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2823

Claims 18-22 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joannie García whose telephone number is (571) 272-1861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

JAG April 2, 2005

GFourson Primary Examiner